Shared Meanings or Missed Opportunities?

The Implications of Functional Health
Literacy for Social Marketing Interventions

Social marketing is being hailed as a key tool to help address the myriad health and overall population wellbeing issues facing societies. There is considerable evidence of the success of some specific social marketing interventions but a factor often overlooked is that literacy problems within a considerable proportion of the population means that the information provided may not be readily comprehended by all members of the target population. A study of the readability of a range of UK health information sources is reported. The paper concludes with a recommendation for further research in the area and for immediate improvement to social marketing-related activity.

INTRODUCTION

Social marketing has received increased focus as a result of an acknowledgement that existing educational and communication strategies aimed at improving population health and well being were not effective (Department of Health, 2004). A number of recent initiatives, all of which place effective communication as the central focus of public health interventions (Bernhardt, 2004) have developed in several countries and it is recognised that not just pan-European but global solutions are being sought to issues affecting the health and welfare of individuals (Commission of the European Communities, 2002). In the UK, a government white paper *Choosing Health*(Department of Health, 2004) specifically advocated the adoption of the principles underpinning social marketing in order to more effectively promote public health issues.

In keeping with other aspects of marketing which are receiving increased focus such as integrated marketing communication (Cornelissen & Lock, 2000), there has been considerable debate as to what social marketing is, where its borders could or should be – and what other behaviour-change interventions might compete against it (Andreasen, 2002a, 2002b). We suggest that conceptual debates and arguments over relatively minor definitional issues detract from areas deserving more focus, such as investigation of the factors leading to interventions with high impact.

SOCIAL MARKETING DEFINED

A managerial useful definition is provided by Andreasen (2002: 7), drawing on a definition originally provided by Kotler and Roberto (1989): "A social change management technology involving the design, implementation and control of programs aimed at increasing the acceptability of a social idea or practice in one or more groups of target adopters. It utilizes concepts of market segmentation, consumer research, product concept development and testing, directed communication, fa-

cilitation, incentives and exchange theory to maximise the target adopter's response".

We would suggest that social marketing should be seen not as a specific theory, but rather as a process drawing on an interdisciplinary range of concepts and theories.

THE EXTENT OF SOCIAL MARKETING

A UK-based study suggests that treatment of preventable illness amounts to a minimum of £187 billion, equating to 19% of total GDP (gross domestic product) for England alone (National Social Marketing Centre, 2006). While it is difficult to compare data across nations due to differences in data collected, a rough estimate of the cost of treating preventable illness across the EU member states is €2,055 billion. In more human terms, in the USA, approximately 1 million deaths per annum are attributable to lifestyle and environmental factors (Rothschild, 1999); again, a rough calculation based simply on population would suggest that the EU statistic would be approximately 1.6 million death per annum. It is suggested that seven of the ten leading causes of death in the USA could be substantially reduced if medication compliance rates were improved, diets became healthier, cigarette smoking rates were reduced, exercise rates improved and alcohol and drug misuse were reduced (Petty & Cacioppo, 1996).

Detailed cross-EU data is somewhat difficult to obtain, however some indications of the magnitude of various health and lifestyle issues in the USA are shown in Table 1; we have no reason to believe that,

in the absence of more specific data, the figures cannot be used as a crude indicator of the potential magnitude of similar issues in other developed countries.

Social marketing activity is substantially, but not exclusively, focussed on health related issues such as safe sex (Dejong, Wolf, & Austin, 2001; Fishbein, von Haeften, & Appleyard, 2001), smoking cessation (Devlin, Eadie, Stead, & Evans, 2007; Vidrine, Simmons, & Brandon, 2007), immunisation (McDermott, 2000), medical screening (Briss et al., 2004; Cox & Cox, 2001), drug education (Yzer, Hennessy, & Fishbein, 2004) and nutrition / physical activity issues (John, Kerby, & Landers, 2004; Renger, Steinfelt, & Lazarus, 2002). Other non-health areas include provision of generic financial advice (Thoresen, 2007), environmental issues (Brenkert, 2002; Glenane-Antoniadis, Whitwell, Bell, & Menguc, 2003) and disaster management and preparedness (Guion, Scammon, & Borders, 2007).

There is considerable scope for improving population health if effective and cost-efficient means of conveying information are used; indeed, the academic literature contains numerous examples of successful social marketing programmes (Fishbein & Yzer, 2003; Philip Kotler & Zaltman, 1971; McDermott, 2000; Stead, Gordon, Angus, & McDermott, 2007). As a result of government and policy maker interest in the potential contribution social marketing might make to issues such as improvement of health-related behaviours, social marketers have moved "from snake oil salesmen to trusted policy advisors" (French & Blair-Stevens, 2006).

Table 1. Magnitude (in USA) of Issues Social Marketing may Contribute Towards (Kotler, Roberto, & Lee, 2002)					
Issue	Magnitude				
Alcohol use during pregnancy	Estimated 5,000 infants born with fetal alcohol syndrome each year				
Sexually transmitted diseases	40% of sexually active high school students report not using a condom				
Diabetes	About 1/3 of the nearly 16 million people with diabetes are not aware they have the disease				
Skin cancer	Approximately 70% of American adults do not protect themselves from the sun's dangerous rays				
Breast cancer	More than 20% of females aged 50 and over have not had mammograms in the last two years				
Prostrate cancer	Only about half of all prostrate cancers are found early				
Colon cancer	Only about 1/3 of all colon cancers are found early				
Seat belts	An estimated 30% of drivers and adult passengers do not always wear their seat belts				
Fires	Almost 50% of fires and 60% of fire deaths occur in the estimated 8% of homes with no smoke alarms				

However, there is evidence of confusion and misunderstanding in some interventions (Cho & Salmon, 2007); part of the reason for this is the functional literacy level of patients (Wallendorf 2001). We therefore firstly review the extant literature regarding the impact of health literacy levels on health outcomes. We then report on a study of the relative readability of material from a range of UK health information sources.

FUNCTIONAL HEALTH LITERACY LEVELS

Reports about levels of literacy often refer to functional literacy as the borderline separating the literate from the illiterate. The Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development defines functional literacy not as the ability to read and write but as whether a person is able to understand and employ printed information in daily life, at home, at work and in the community. Consistent findings from studies of the impact of low functional literacy are that inadequate literacy adversely affects on medical condition knowledge and ability of patients with chronic conditions to take responsibility for effective self-care (Wallace, Deming, Hunter, Belcher, & Choi, 2006; Williams, Baker, Parker, & Nurss, 1998; Willimas, Baker, Honig, Lee, & Nowland, 1998). Adverse affects have also been found in relation to preventative screening (Lindau et al., 2002). Varying definitions of literacy make cross-study comparisons difficult, however there appears to be agreement that some 20% of the population of most developed countries have severe literacy problems and a further 20% have limited literacy (Adkins & Ozanne, 2005; Office for National Statistics, 2000). There also exists an additional group that could be classed as 'aliterate', in that they are able to read but choose not to, and rely on television rather than print media for news. More importantly, they learn through trial and error rather than by reading instructions (Wallendorf, 2001). The specific needs of these groups must be taken into account, acknowledging their difficulties but avoiding appearing condescending in the design and delivery of appropriate interventions (Guttman & Salmon, 2004).

Even though the problems associated with low levels of health literacy have been recognised for at least twenty-five years a considerable amount of written material (brochures and websites) is still at a level well beyond the ability of users to understand it.

(Adkins, Elkins, & Singh, 2001; Rudd, Moeykens, & Colton, 1999) and literacy issues appear to be largely ignored in the development and delivery of health information material (Eagle, Hawkins, Styles, & Reid, 2006).

The major consequence of health literacy problems is cost, as people with low levels of literacy use more health care resources than those with higher literacy abilities (Bar-Yam, 2002; Kefalides, 1999). Health care expenditure due to low health literacy in the USA is estimated at \$US 73 billion and includes longer hospital stays and more frequent doctor visits (Bar-Yam 2002). Extrapolating these figures to the European Union on a simple population ratio basis (Internet World Statistics 2005) would indicate that the costs within the European Union may be in the vicinity of \$US 115 billion, or €77.5 billion.

Table 2 (pg.6) presents the adult reading skill levels for the UK in relation to the primarily American literature (see, for example, Hoffman et al. 2004; Wallace and Lemon 2004; Mumford 1997) and the National Standards for literacy (Department for Education and Skills - DfES, 2003). Most adults will have some level of reading and writing skills with strengths and weaknesses across a range of aspects. The Skills for Life adult basic skills strategy, launched by the UK Government in 2001, developed national standards for literacy. The literacy framework outlines what an adult should be able to achieve at entry level (divided into three sub-levels), level 1 and level 2 or above. The framework recognises that an adult may be classified at an overall level of literacy but have higher or lower levels of ability in different aspects of that skill. Furthermore, within this framework the skills levels and tests for literacy pertain primarily to reading skills, rather than writing.

According to Shea et al (2004) the average adult reading skill level is 3–5 grades below the level expected at the end of formal education. Relating this to the UK national curriculum levels a person who left secondary school at age 16 (reading skill 12, national curriculum 5) can be expected to have a post-education reading skill level of 7–9 or national curriculum level 3. The Basic Skills Agency's report (May 2000) reported that almost four out of ten adults in some parts of the UK could not read or write properly. The agency's chairman Sir Claus Moser described the problem of adults being "functionally illiterate'as serious and reported the national average at 24%, rising to nearly 40% in some areas.

Table 2.

Reading Skill Level by Age Cohort as indicated in the literature and the National Standards for literacy

(see, for example, Hoffman et al. 2004; Wallace and Lemon 2004; Department for Education and Skills – DfES, 2003).

School level	Approximate Age	Approximate Grade/ Reading Skill Level Expected	UK National Curriculum Level	UK Adult Literacy Level	UK Population %
Nursery	3–5	1	1	Entry Level 1	3
Junior / Primary School	6	2	1		
	7	3	2	Entry level 2	2
	8	4	2		
	9	5	2		
	10	6	2		
Secondary School	11	7	3	Entry level 3	11
	12	8	3		
	13	9	3		
	14	10	3		
	15	11	4		
Further Education	16	12	5	Level 1	40
	17	13	5	Upper secondary attainment	
Higher Education	18	14	6 to 8	Level 2 or above	44
(College / University	19	15			

One potential consequence of such literacy problems with regards to health information is the possibility of patients or carers to misinterpret prescriptions or actually read the directions. Roman (2004) suggests that almost 42% of older Americans are unable to read instructions on how to take medications. It is estimated that only 50% of patients suffering from chronic diseases in developed countries follow treatment recommendations (Sabate, 2003). This has implications not only for the health of the patient, but also for the wider society when resulting in complications from chronic diseases, formation of resistant infections, or untreated psychiatric illness.

One challenge is the identification of people who are 'functionally illiterate' as such people seldom admit they have a problem and will, over time, have developed numerous strategies to hide the problem (Aldridge 2004; Weir 2001) and may not have even revealed their problem to their spouse (Aldridge 2004; Roman 2004; Bar-Yam 2002). Strategies include asking others to read material out, watching and copying the actions of others or stating that they have forgotten their reading glasses and / or will read the material later at home (Aldridge 2004; Bar-Yam

2002). Despite this health information materials continue to be produced at a level well above the average reading level (Hoffman et al. 2004) placing patients at risk for problems due to incorrect or inappropriate medication usage. People with low literacy levels have also been found to be more anxious about the possibility of developing cancer, yet are diagnosed a later stages of cancer (Freidman and Hoffman-Goetz., 2006), raising ethical issues regarding action that should be taken by those who develop material (Cho and Salmon, 2007). Readability is not only an issue related to health; there is evidence that child safety seat instructions are also written at "a reading level that exceeds the reading skills of most American consumers" (Wegner and Girasek, 2003: 588)

Today, people have numerous opportunities to access an abundance of health information, through the media, self-help groups, printed literature and particularly the internet. The accessibility of the internet has given people unprecedented access to health information and health care services online (Esyenbach, 2000). However, there have been concerns raised regarding the quality of health informa-

tion on the internet and the potential impact on public health (Cojera, 1998). One of these concerns relates to the problem of low literacy levels that impair understanding of health messages peoples' (Eysenbach & Deigpen, 1998).

Much of the health information available is at a higher reading level than the average (Hoffman et al. 2004). If the health information people receive from health professionals does not meet the patient's needs then it is probable that they will seek additional information from alternative sources. A study was therefore undertaken in order to determine the readability of a range of potential UK printed and Internet health information sources.

STUDY

This study seeks to determine the readability of a range of printed and Internet UK health information sources. A range of health information leaflets from pharmacies was analysed using the SMOG readability index to determine the reading level. The UK funded National Health Service (NHS) Direct website was selected as it has been at the forefront of e-health information services since 2004. NHS Direct has a specific self-help guide that can be interrogated by symptoms or by disease. The Patient UK web site offers free, up-to-date health information as provided by GPs to patients during consultations. In addition website searches were conducted using the Copernic Search Engine for simple search terms for major medical conditions such as asthma and cancer.

The SMOG index was selected because of its proven accuracy, correlation with other readability formulae and subsequent widespread use in the academic literature (Mumford, 1997; Wallace & Lemon, 2004). The method used for the SMOG calculations followed the methodology in the literature (Aldridge, 2004; Mumford, 1997; Wallace & Lemon, 2004). If SMOG calculations are calculated manually, three groups of 10 consecutive sentences at the beginning, middle and end of a document were selected, giving a total of 30 sentences. Following this, all words with three or more syllables within these selected sentences were counted and the square root of the total was then calculated and rounded to the nearest integer. Finally, the number 3 was added to the integer to obtain the grade level of the document.

However, the originator (McLaughlin, 1969) of the SMOG formula has also provided an internet-based version of the calculator at http://webpages.charter.net/ghal/SMOG.html; we compared manually calculated results with those derived from the internet version and found no difference between them. This calculation measures only the likely reading level required for comprehension of the material and not other aspects such as readability and suitability which could be assessed using other tools such as the Readability Assessment Instrument (RAIN) (Adkins et al., 2001) or the Suitability Assessment of Materials measurement (SAM) (Doak, Doak, & Root, 1985). Issues of readability and suitability of wording are beyond the scope of this paper.

Findings

Even though the problems associated with low levels of health literacy have been recognised for at least twenty-five years a considerable amount of written material (brochures and websites) remains at a level well beyond the ability of users to understand it. (Adkins, Elkins, & Singh, 2001; Rudd, Moeykens, & Colton, 1999). The Skills for Life Survey (DfES, 2003) reported that 12 million people aged 16-65 year olds which is 16% of the UK workforce, had literacy levels of below that of the average 11 year old. (Entry level 3). Boulos's (2004) study identified the average reading age of UK citizens is that of an educated nine year old. The findings presented in Table 3 (pg. 9) concur with Estrada et al's (2000) findings in that the readability level was similar for pharmacy information leaflets and Internet sites related to a specific condition at UK adult literacy entry level 3 (reading age 11) but was higher for NHS web sites at UK adult literacy level 1 (reading age 16).

The readability of the material assessed within this study range from primary school level (entry level 1, reading age 7) up to and beyond postgraduate level (level 2+, reading age 21). The majority of the health information sources assessed were at entry level 3, which means that the reader should be able to "understand short straightforward text on familiar topics accurately and independently and have the ability to obtain information from everyday sources" (The Skills for Life Survey, DfES 2003). The issue of 'familiarity' with the topic is of key importance within a health information context as the complexity of the language necessitates any written information being at a lower level than would normally be acceptable.

Table 3.

SMOG reading level scores for the range of UK health information sources assessed

Type of Materials	UK adult	SMOG reading grade level		
Type of Materials	literacy level	Mean	Range	
Pharmacy health information leaflets n = 12	Entry level 3	10.3	9–12	
NHS Direct online Self-help guides: Asthma Smoking Osteoporosis Obesity Diabetes Cancer	Level 1	12.5 13.5 12.2 14.1 13.4 12.6	6 -16 11-16 10-16 11-22 11-17 9-16	
NHS Parental Advice Page Asthma Smoking Osteoporosis Obesity Diabetes Cancer	Level 1	12.4 12.2 11.1 13.3 13.3 11.2	9–18 10–16 8–13 9–17 9–14 7–15	
Patient UK Asthma Smoking Osteoporosis Obesity Diabetes Cancer	Entry level 3	9.1 10.2 11.7 12.2 9.2 11.3	7-16 8-14 9-15 10-14 8-15 8-15	
Asthma UK Web page	Entry level 3	9.2	7–14	
National Osteoporosis Society UK	Entry level 3	9.3	8–13	
Cancer BACKUP UK	Entry level 3	10.2	8–12	
Cancer Research UK	Entry level 3	10.7	7–14	
Diabetes Society UK	Entry level 3	9.5	8–13	

The wide accessibility of the Internet makes it an every day source and as such a tool that people can

"The Commons Public Accounts Committee (2006) reported that up to 16 million adults, nearly half the UK workforce are holding down jobs despite having the reading skills expected of children leaving primary school (Guardian, 2006). This should be of concern to designers of printed and Internet health information sources that much of the materials produced is likely not to be understood by a substantial proportion of the population."

use to access health information and health care services. The NHS self-help guide and NHS parental advice page required people accessing the sites to

have an average literacy level at level 1 or post secondary school level. The readability level was highest for information relating to symptoms and potential treatments for both of these sites. The treatment options in terms of obesity achieved a SMOG score of 22 (written at a post graduate level). This again raises the issue of terminology and the complexity of language used. In an attempt to address this issue the NHS Direct self-help guides have a 'click through' glossary of terms for unfamiliar medical terms. However, when the glossary itself was assessed for readability it was again found to be at level 1 or post secondary school level.

The health information materials available via the Patient UK web site and the condition specific sites (Asthma UK; National Osteoporosis Society UK; Cancer BACKUP UK; Cancer Research UK and The Diabetes Society UK) were relatively readable compared to the materials from the NHS sites. In terms of there readability these sites were comparable to

the printed leaflets from the pharmacies. Sections of text analysed from these sources was predominately

at entry level 3 with the introductory text to most of the leaflets and condition specific sites readability level at entry level 2. Entry level 2 is that expected of a seven year old and recognises the role of signs and symptoms in understanding and comprehension of text.

CONCLUSIONS AND MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS

The Commons Public Accounts Committee (2006) reported that up to 16 million adults, nearly half the UK workforce are holding down jobs despite having the

reading skills expected of children leaving primary school (Guardian, 2006). This should be of concern to designers of printed and Internet health information sources that much of the materials produced is likely not to be understood by a substantial proportion of the population. The result of misunderstanding or not fully comprehending health related information has

"The success of social marketing interventions aimed at addressing specific issues facing the European Union will in part depend on the readability of the information provided by all members of the target population."

the potential to be life threatening. Most of the extant research is American in origin; there are limited studies within the UK and Europe. There is also limited research from any country that specifically examines the readability levels of Internet based materials. As 57% homes in the UK now have Internet access (Social Trends, 2007) this should be included in future research in this area.

The increased proliferation of health information generated from a variety of sources, such as pamphlets, self-help groups and the Internet, suggests that the demand from consumers for health information is growing. While the consequences of low health literacy have been extensively studied, there is a

need for mechanisms to be developed that check understanding especially given the extent of the low functional literacy problem in the UK and Europe. The success of social marketing interventions aimed at addressing specific issues facing the European Union will in part depend on the readability of the information provided by all members of the target population.

Health information providers need to ensure that their materials are written at a level appropriate for the intended audience. A distinction should be made between health information sources that are for health professions and lay persons rather than a 'one size fits all' approach.

DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

There are a number of readability indices that are based around sentence length and number of sylla-

bles (e.g., Flesch – Kincaid grade level; Flesch reading ease index; The Fry Graph and SMOG readability indexl). However, these readability measures were designed for application to general text and not medical text, so there is a possibility that the use of such measures could be overestimating readability scores. In addition, there is a need for

health information sources to be assessed for their suitability for the given target audience. An area for future consideration is the development of a suitable framework to assess content that considers the use of graphics, the reader's level of prior knowledge

and the implications of social and cultural appropriateness.

There are in existence foreign language adaptations from the 1980s of the Flesch reading ease index (Spanish Language Huerta reading ease index) and The Fry Graph. Contreras, et al's (1999) used the SMOG readability index on Spanish, English and French text. However, there is a lack of

"An area for future consideration is the development of a suitable framework to assess content that considers the use of graphics, the reader's level of prior knowledge and the implications of social and cultural appropriateness."

systematic analysis of English- language readability measures and their applicability for use on material in other languages and on health specific text. This is an area that requires further research across the EU.

REFERENCES

ADKINS, A., ELKINS, E., & SINGH, N. (2001). Readability of NIHM East-to-read Patient Education Materials. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 10(3), 279–285.

ADKINS, N.R., & OZANNE, J.L. (2005). The Low Literate Consumer. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *32*(1), 93–105.

ALDRIDGE, M. (2004). Writing and Designing Readable Patient Education Materials. *Nephrology Nursing Journal*, 31(4), 373–377.

ANDREASEN, A.R. (2002a). Commercial Marketing and Social Change. *Social Marketing Quarterly*, 8(2), 41–45.

ANDREASEN, A.R. (2002b). Marketing Social Marketing in the Social Change Marketplace. *Journal of Public Policy and Marketing*, 21(1), 3–13.

BAR-YAM, N.B. (2002). Low Health Literacy: A Problem for All in the Health Care Circle. *International Journal of Childbirth Education*, 17(3), 21–23.

BERNHARDT, J.M. (2004, 2004/12//). Communication at the Core of Effective Public Health. *American Journal of Public Health*, pp. 2051–2053, from

http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=15329728&site=ehost-live

BRENKERT, G.G. (2002). Ethical Challenges of Social Marketing. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*, 21(1), 14–36. BRISS, P., RIMER, B.K., REILLY, B., COATES, R.C., LEE, N.C., MULLEN, P., ET AL. (2004). Promoting Informed Decisions About Cancer Screening in communities and Healthcare Systems. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 26(1), 67–80.

BOULOS, M.N.K. (2004) Patient information on the internet is too complicated. *The Pharmaceutical Journal* Vol 273 No 7316 p339

CHO, H., & SALMON, C.T. (2007). Unintended Effects of Health Communication Campaigns. *Journal of Communication*, *57*(2), 293 – 317.

COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES. (2002). Life Sciences and Biotechnology – A strategy for Europe. Retrieved 20 March, 2007, from

http://ec.europa.eu/biotechnology/pdf/com2002-27_en.pdf CONTRERAS, A., GARCIA-ALONSO, R., ECHENIQUE, M., & DAYE-CONTRERAS, F. (1999). The SOL Formulas for Converting SMOG Readability Scores Between Health Education Materials Written in Spanish, English and French. *Journal of Health Communication*, 4(1), 21–29.

CORNELISSEN, J.P., & LOCK, A.R. (2000). Theoretical Concept or Management Fashion? Examining the Significance of IMC. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 40(5), 7–15.

Cox, D., & Cox, A.D. (2001). Communicating the Consequences of Early Detection: The Role of Evidence and Framing. *Journal of Marketing*, 65(3), 91–103.

DEJONG, W., WOLF, R.C., & AUSTIN, S.B. (2001). U.S. Fedrally Funded Television Public Service Announcements (PSAs) to Prevent HIV / AIDS: A Content Analysis. *Journal of Health Communication*, 6, 249–263.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. (2004). Choosing Health: Making Healthy Choices Easier (White Paper). London: Department of Healtho. Document Number)

DEVLIN, E., EADIE, D., STEAD, M., & EVANS, K. (2007). Comparative Study of Young People's Response to Anti-smoking Messages. *International Journal of Advertising*, 26(1), 99–128.

DOAK, C., DOAK, L., & ROOT, J. (1985). *Teaching Patients with Low Literacy Skills*. Philadelphia, Pa.: Lippincott.

EAGLE, L.C., HAWKINS, J.C., STYLES, E., & REID, J. (2006). Breaking Through the Invisible Barrier of Low Functional Literacy: Implications for Health Communication. *Studies in Communication Sciences* 5(2), 29–55.

ESTRADA, C., HRYNIEWICZ, M.M., HIGGS, V.B., COLLINS, C., & BYRD, J.C. (2000). Anticoagulant Patient Information Material Is Written at High Readability Levels. *Stroke*, *31*(12), 2966–2970.

FISHBEIN, M., VON HAEFTEN, I., & APPLEYARD, J. (2001). The Role of Theory in Developing Effective Interventions: Implications from Project Safer. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*, 6(2), 223–238.

FISHBEIN, M., & YZER, M.C. (2003). Using Theory to Design Effective Health Behavior Interventions. *Communication Theory*, *13*(2), 164–183.

FRENCH, J., & BLAIR-STEVENS, C. (2006). From Snake Oil Salesmen to Trusted Policy Advisors: The Development of a Strategic Approach to the Application of Social Marketing in England. *Social Marketing Quarterly*, 12(3), 29–40.

GLENANE-ANTONIADIS, A., WHITWELL, G., BELL, S.J., & MENGUC, B. (2003). Extending the Vison of Social Marketing Through Social Capital Theory. *Marketing Theory*, 3(3), 323–343.

GUION, D.T., SCAMMON, D.L., & BORDERS, A.L. (2007). Weathering the Storm: A Social Marketing Perspective on Disaster Preparedness and Response with Lessons from urrican Katrina. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*, 26(1), 2–32.

GUTTMAN, N., & SALMON, C.T. (2004). Guilt, Fear, Stigma and Knowledge Gaps: Ethical Issues in Public Health Communication Interventions. *Bioethics*, *18*(6), 531–552. JOHN, R., KERBY, D.S., & LANDERS, P.S. (2004). A Market Segmentation Approach to Nutrition Education Among Low-Income Individuals. *Social Marketing Quarterly*, *10*(3-4), 24–38.

KEFALIDES, P. (1999). Illiteracy: The Silent Barrier to Health Care. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 130(4), 333–336.

KOTLER, P., ROBERTO, N., & LEE, N. (2002). Social Marketing. Improving the Quality of Liofe. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

KOTLER, P., & ZALTMAN, G. (1971). Social Marketing: An Approach to Planned Social Change. *Journal of Marketing*, 35(3).

LINDAU, S., T., TOMORI, C., LYONS, T., LANGSETH, L., BENNETT, C.L., & Garcia, P. (2002). The Association of Health Literacy With Cervical Cancer Prevention Knowledge and Health Behaviours in a Multiethinci Cohort of

Women. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynwcology, 186(5), 938–943.

MCDERMOTT, R.J. (2000). Social Marketing: A Tool for Health Education. *Amercian Journal of Health Behavior*, 24(1), 6–10.

MCLAUGHLIN, G.H. (1969). SMOG Grading: A new Readability Formula. *Journal of Reading*, 12(8), 639–646.

MUMFORD, M.E. (1997). A Descriptive Study of the Readability of Patient Information Leaflets Designed By Nurses. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *26*(5), 985–991.

NATIONAL SOCIAL MARKETING CENTRE. (2006). It's Our Health! Realising the Potential of Effective Social Marketing. London: National Social Marketing Centreo. Document Number)
OFFICE FOR NATIONAL STATISTICS. (2000). International Adult Literacy Survey 2007, from

http://www.statistics.gov.uk/ssd/surveys/european_adult_literacy_review_survey.asp

OFFICE FOR NATIONAL STATISTICS (2007) Social Trends No 37, http://www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme_social/Social_ Trends 37/Social_Trends_37.pdf

PETTY, R.E., & CACIOPPO, J.T. (1996, 1996/02//). Addressing Disturbing and Disturbed Consumer Behavior: Is it Necessary to Change the Way we... *Journal of Marketing Research (JMR)*, p. 1, from

http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?di-

rect=true&db=bth&AN=9602223659&site=ehost-live RENGER, R., STEINFELT, V., & LAZARUS, S. (2002). Assessing the Effectiveness of a Community-Based Media Campaign Targeting Physical Inactivity. Family & Community Health, 25(3), 18–30.

ROTHSCHILD, M.L. (1999). Carrots, Sticks, and Promises: A Conceptual Framework for the Management of Public Health and Social Issue Behaviors. *Journal of Marketing*, 63(4), 24–37.

RUDD, R., MOEYKENS, B., & COLTON, T. (1999). Health and Literacy: A Review of Medical and Public Health Literature. New York: Jossey-Bass.

SABATÉ, E. (ed.): "Adherence to Long term Therapies: Evidence for Action". World Health Organisation. Geneva, 2003. 212 pp. ISBN 92-4-154599-2. Report 2003

SMITHERS, R (2006) 12m workers have reading age of children Guardian [online] 24 Jan. Available from: http://digital.guardian.co.uk/guardian/2006/24/01/pdfs/gdn_040511_brd_6_2263446.pdf [Accessed 28 Jan 2008].

STEAD, M., GORDON, R., ANGUS, K., & MCDERMOTT, L. (2007). A Systematic Review of Social Marketing Effectiveness. *Health Education*, 107(2), 126–191.

THORESEN, O. (2007). Thoresen Review of Generic Financial Advice: Interim Report. London: HM Treasury. (H. Treasury o. Document Number)

VIDRINE, J.I., SIMMONS, V.N., & BRANDON, T.H. (2007). Construction of Smoking-Relevant Risk Perceptions Among College Students: The Influence of Need for Cognition and Message Content. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 37(1), 91–114.

WALLACE, F.H., DEMING, M.P., HUNTER, S., BELCHER, L., & CHOI, J. (2006). More Than Just Words: A Model for Designing Effecticvde Health Messages for Those At Risk For HIV. *Reading Research and Instruction*, 46(1), 275–297. WALLACE, L., & LEMON, E. (2004). American Academy of Family Physicians Patient Education Materials: Can Patients Read Them? *Family Medicine Journal*, 36(8), 571–575.

WALLENDORF, M. (2001). Literally Literacy. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 27(4), 505–511.

WILLIAMS, M.V., BAKER, D.W., PARKER, R.M., & NURSS, J.R. (1998). Relationship of Functional Health Literacy to Patients' Knowledge of their Chronic Disease. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, *158*(2), 166–172.

WILLIMAS, M.V., BAKER, D.W., HONIG, E.G., LEE, T.M., & NOWLAND, A. (1998). Inadequate Literacy is a Barrier to Asthma Knowledge and Self-Care. *Chest, 114*(4), 1008–1015. YZER, M.C., HENNESSY, M., & FISHBEIN, M. (2004). The Usefulness of Perceived Difficulty for Health Research. *Psychology, Health & Medicine, 9*(2), 149–162.

Gill Kemp and Lynne Eagle Bristol Business School University of the West of England