

ZULFIQAR, Rida
PhD Student
University of Szeged

DOI: 10.15170/DIKE.2021.05.02.15

Judicial Independence in Pakistan

Independent judiciary is the justification of a balanced and disinterested and judiciously equitable civilization. Independence suggests that professionals in law can unobstructed deliver legal judgments whether involving powerful diplomats, executive characters, or average residents. Therefore, guaranteeing resolutions are built on vitality slightly than is the outcome of governmental tensions or is promoting some bulk. Founded with freedom, the judiciary system works as a defense of public equities and independence. In Pakistan, however, the Constitution designates an individualistic and non-interrupted judiciary but political authorities, from multiple years, have been doubled upon guaranteeing that the lawmakers or law implementers/ judges constantly survive in an environment of anxiety and address partial and advantageous determinations under the leadership and intentional power of the outside officials. This paper settles that an unconventional or independent judicial system may not denote the clarification to all the dilemmas of Pakistan but is the numerous significant paces towards resolving the obstacles covered by the country machinations. These hurdles have great impact on country's overall judicial performance which turns it not a democratic state and throw critical observation over dependent proclaims of judicial system, where jury could not perform and execute rule of law individually. Furthermore, the historical events from 1947 to 1956 stand the revolution in constitutional corner of the state but unfortunately severe contamination in legislative system and interpretation of external bodies in organizational independency of law, upgraded nepotism and corruptions like threats in current infrastructure of administration.

Keywords: *judicial independence; Pakistan judiciary; superior judiciary of Pakistan; subordinate judiciary; rule of law; violation of legislation*

1. Introduction

The origins of the presently executed judicial system of Pakistan outstretched to the middle-age duration and flat earlier. The constitutional order that we apply in the current era has emerged from a long spun, transversion approximately over a period of thousands of years. This judicial system has accepted through various times, embracing the Hindu epoch, Muslim ending-pitch comprising of the Mughal Territory, British inhabitable days, and before independence division.¹ Despite the consecutive qualifications including one dominion/dynasty replaced by the opposite, which consistently finished in the socio-economic and legislative transmutation of the Indian community, the judiciary arrangement ordinarily sustained a consecutive maturity and progressive progression towards stabilization and enhancement/clarification, without certainly, producing to support any extreme interruption or malfunction.

¹ Pakistan got the council of Islamic state after a series of periods in slavery to other judicial systems that were apparently not matching the rules of Muslims, moreover, the actual concept of Islamic legislation was also base-less for Muslims. MUNIR, Challenging State Authority or Running a Parallel Judicial System.

Cumulatively, the regularity encountered and moved into three different degrees of past improvement, specifically, the extended population concentration or the Hindu Kingdom, Muslim Rule acceptance and implementation during the combined time of sub-continent, and regional time for the British Colonial Directorate.² The 4th and contemporary period, originated with the separation of India and the verification of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, as an independent and unconventional Country.³ The Judicial system, afterward, has developed over a method of betterment and advancement. This resolution experiences near harmony among archivists and observers of Indian constitutional antiquity.⁴ Through this manner of development and extension, the constitutional system did experience leadership and motivations from international laws/suppositions and original standards/modes, both in courses of building tribunals' construction, hierarchy, authority, and assuming analysis schemes/applications. Accordingly, the contemporary constitutional system is not a completely international reflection, as is generally claimed, but has obtained a congenital characteristic and political complexion. And whereas the arrangement may not entirely accommodate the capability of inhabitants or citizens or coincide with the geographical limitations, its unbroken reinforcement and discipline have presented it understandable to average individuals. The powerful point that an expanding quantity of people is looking to the royalties of legislation for the determination of their oppositions/arguments, symbolizes that the regularity appreciates a standard of legitimacy and affirmation.

But in most or frequently seen cases of violation of independent judiciary in past events, Pakistan has deformed a huge percentage of transparency while sorting out national-level disputes. The independent judiciary demands non-interpretation of any outside parties into the legislative structure of accountability or constitution. In this way, no governmental force could influence a case filed in order to retain personal interest by depositing corruption with senior judges. Whereas, violation of parliamentary finalized decisions is also undeniable and applicable to members of government, whether federation personalities or divisional authorities. Not once in history but many times the cases from an illegal perspective have been reported, ended at crucial results such as resignation from allotted authorization.⁵ In this context, Pakistan however incorporates an independent judicial system in restraining the democratic aspects of the state, but due to opposition from governmental parties and involvement of legislative staff in self-interest has declined the consistency for the independent acquisition of the judicial system.

2. Literature review

2.1. Historical review

Historically, legal freedom developed as a defense against the administrator to command the law's ill-usage in England. While during 1610, *Sir Edward Coke* placed the establishment of

² The Colonial Directorate was a state office of the Kingdom of Great Britain and next of the UK, primarily built to dispense with the colonial subjects of British North America but required further to supervise the growing figure of communities of the British Empire. HUSSAIN, *The Judicial System of Pakistan*.

³ After rule of three consequent eras were dominant in subcontinent; Hindu, Muslims, and British, the fourth era remarked the current possibility of Pakistan separation from other judicial infrastructures.

⁴ NELSON, *Indian Basic Structure Jurisprudence in the Islamic Republic of Pakistan* 333.

⁵ SHAH, *Critical study of the factors undermining independence of the superior judiciary in Pakistan*.

noninterference of the judges when he canceled the Act of Parliament in *Dr. Bonham's* event (1610), in which he declared the domination of the public authority in England, seeing that the rights of Parliament were obtained from and limited by the president. In 1748, *Montesquieu* presented the hypothesis of the division of laws. Freedom of court is a component of the theory of the division of rules in the judgment that it requires the cut from other branches of authority. The American SC explained the idea of judicial evaluation in *Marbury V. Madison* (1803) to examine the occupancy of the manager and the parliamentary activities.

As stated by *Ahmed*⁶, the idea of Judicial Review was introduced from Great Britain. The British rulers simply checked the administrator's performances upon the standard of the Upper House laws. This is to mean that British rulers didn't nullify the legislature's performances, but this system is extensive in various British communities involving Canada, Australia, Bangladesh, and Pakistan. *Paula Newberg's* volume *Judging the State: Tribunals and Lawful Governments in Pakistan* described the record as a significantly educated approach to Pakistan's higher tribunals' performance and capacity. *Newberg*⁷ examines Pakistan's higher rulers as becoming declared the British vice-regal (a ruler or a governor-general is the superior spokesperson of the British sovereign) attitude that centralized authority in the colonial official's guidance. *Newberg* states that Pakistan's higher sessions recognize it is a responsibility to defend the country by supporting army jurisdiction and have been asked to replace civil acts in times of emergency.

2.2. The inter-revolution of three eras

The execution of current legislation among the Muslim territory of the sub-continent combines three major evolutionary eras. These are interconnected for conventional improvements in the legislative system present today. The Hindu phase remained for three millenaries, i.e., from 1500 B.C. to 1500 A.D.⁸ Notice on the legal practice through Hindu time has been slightly inadequate, assumed principally from distributed roots, including antique publications involves Dharma Shastra and explanations of the identical by recorders and judges. These roots form a comprehensive model of the power of law throughout the Hindu time. The Ruler was considered as the origin of law which more executed administrative roles. In this job, jurists, as well as his representatives and lawyers, helped him. He was the ultimate constitutional official and arena of the final application. At the seat of government, besides the Ruler's Office, the forum of Chief Justice survived. This Court, in the government, was after the Ruler's one and request upon its settlements arrange to the Ruler's Court.⁹ The jurists were selected based on their capacities and accomplishments, but the decision was principally confined to higher rank called Brahmins.

The Muslim era in the Indian sub-continent approximately starts in the 11th age A.D. This time was split into two sections i.e., the duration of initial Muslim kings who ordered Delhi and further different regions of India and the *Mughal* era, which repaired before-mentioned Muslim and additional kings. Islamic authority continued the country's rule in resolving local and illegal arguments throughout Muslim kings' limits. While this time, various rulers were organized

⁶ AHMED, *Supremely Fallible* 213–239.

⁷ NEWBERG, *Judging the state Courts and constitutional politics in Pakistan*.

⁸ SATHE, *Judicial Activism: The Indian Experience*.

⁹ 14 Report of Law Commission of India, 1958, Vol. I, 26.

and worked at the fundamental, countrified, division, and tehsil (Pargana) stage. These tribunals had established authority in municipal, illegal, and taxation topics and served following the Ruler administration. Primary to jurisdictional authority was the Ruler's Official, directed over via the Ruler himself, operating independently and appellate power. The Ruler was the source of administrative control, and he performed every profession to administrative jobs. Applicants of approved knowledge, identified as able and extraordinary sincere, were elected to these jobs. The jurists carried performance through the comfort of the Ruler.

The Mughals developed against the past practice and formed an acceptable way of handling law throughout the land. Tribunals were built at various sections of the legislative classification. At the community milestone, the Hindu rule of Panchayats (Settlement of dispute through Ancestors) was employed, which picked narrow conflicts of municipal and illegal character, applying pacification and negotiation as midpoints of resolving conflicts. At the village stage, there established tribunals controlled via Qazi-e-Parganas (religion-based resolution makers). Likewise, at the division and pastoral setting, Qazi's tribunals were built next to the ruler. The most leading forum at the countrified spot was that of *Adalat Nazim-e-Subah*. Thus, for taxation problems, directors called Ameen were selected at the municipality confront. Interest claims were dispensed with through Amalguzar and on the countrified approach via Diwan on the community approach.

Even though these sessions usually applied independent power in distinct classes of problems, but, seldomly their right was not well-defined. managers dispensing with illegal circumstances were too needed to function as tax tribunals. Moreover, whereas regionally, these sessions developed an inter-related group, their authority was not eternally particular on the principle of regional ends. Therefore, a rare number may decide to register his action in a township, a division, or a territory. The tribunals' financial administration was similarly not specified, so a more unusual state may be deposited in a courtyard of the miniature municipality.

2. The independent judiciary

The judiciary is the method of sessions that describes, advocates, and practices the legislative rule of law in the designation of the country. The concept of the courts can also be considered as the device for the determination of arguments. Under the law of the detachment of authorities, the judicial compulsion frequently does not constitute rightful law (which is the reliability of the assembly) or support legislation (which is the liability of the administrator), but somewhat describes the fundamental rule of regularity, covers and implements it to the realities of individual situations.

Nonetheless, in a low number of nations, the courts do obtain general legislation.¹⁰ In several domains, the constitutional section has the potential to replace decisions, quite acceptable among nationwide conceptualization, into the method of legal inspection. Suites with constitutional analysis strength may revoke the codes of regularity and jurisdictions of the country when it discovers them opposite with a more leading standard, such as fundamental law, the provisions of the constitution, treaties, or international law. Judges constitute a critical force

¹⁰ RUBIN, Law and Legislation in the Administrative State 369.

for interpretation and implementation of a constitution, thus in common law countries creating the body of constitutional law.

2.1. The judiciary system of Pakistan

Pakistan appeared on the map of the world as an Islamic federal republic with a dimensional and multidirectional parliamentary policy of the administration, mainly built on the UK representation, compatible with the 1973 Fundamental Principles of Pakistan.¹¹ Pakistan's central parliamentary section is composed of the President, the National Assembly as the further down apartment for legislation (also known as a lower house), and the Senate which makes a superior judiciary of the state. The liabilities constituted by the Senate include Legislation and Stability of Law, Answerability, Overlooking of the Administrator, and Presentation of Federating complements. The National Assembly and Senate establish Pakistan's bicameral (two-chambered) Parliamentary judicial system. This bicameral actually denotes national and senate assemblies where judges are appointed on various parameters of their expertise, based on dispute severity in various situations. These authorities by using their legislative visions create and implement rule of law, which appears as a mandatory exercise for countries to declare a democratic civilization.

From an operational point of calculations, the National Assembly has 342 sittings¹² which include positions maintained for women and minorities or non-Muslims. So that the women's rights and independence of minorities would not be on negligence under the legislative controversy of the nation's Islamic Ruler. The Senate of Pakistan consisted of 104 segments¹³ selected discursively by the posts of the National Assembly and the posts of the federal assemblages. The national assembly supports the infrastructure of the Senate and hence it is the second assembly room of the Parliamentary judicial. The liabilities constituted for the Senate Act¹⁴ independently and this second-chamber authorizes to counterparts the performance of the National Assembly. It distributes the responsibility of declaring and forming regulations in law and investigating and questioning the operation of the executives. Seat holders of the National Assembly are selected by a combined appointive method, likewise recognized as a parallel system.¹⁵ In succession for a proposal to display rule in Pakistan, it necessarily experiences an authoritative system in the individual apartment of Parliament that includes 12 or 13 measures, inclusive of three translations in both the National Assembly and the Senate, obeyed by presidential approval. This method is governed by Pakistan's Constitution and the Rules of Method of the appropriate ancestry. It could be feasibly notable that the judiciary system of Pakistan is a hierarchical system (based on a series of ranking authorities) with two rings of tribunals: the superior (or more leading) judiciary and the subordinate (or lower) courts. The sector of the superior judiciary is composed of three sequenced courts: the higher or Supreme

¹¹ MUNIR, Principles of Policy in Pakistan Constitution 1973. Each resident shall hold the claim to the independence of conversation and explanation, and there shall be independent of the journalists, directed to any sensible limitations required by legislation in the case of the majesty of Islam or the sincerity, safety, or protection of Pakistan.

¹² AHMAD, National Parliaments: Pakistan.

¹³ JAMAL, Pakistan Gears up for Senate Polls.

¹⁴ The Senate has the individual capability to carry charges against govt official cases, primarily laboring as tribunal and judiciary. RASOOL, Distilling Eligibility and Virtue: Articles 62 and 63 of the Pakistani Constitution.

¹⁵ MUNIR, Challenging State Authority or Running a Parallel Judicial System.

Court of Pakistan, the Federal Shariat Court, and five High Courts substituted in every single province. On apparent priority prospects, the Supreme Court ranks at the top by holding completely the legislative command which we refer to as law releases from the officials sitting in the Supreme court. On the second rank, there exists a fully legislative shelter for providing equal judiciary and resolutions of severe to moderate kinds of conflicts to individual federations: The High Court. These courts are located in each of the four provinces and a High Court localized in the Islamabad Capital dominion.

The Judiciary of Pakistan commits the superior judiciary with the responsibility to maintain, defend, and retain the law code, for every general to the national level situation. Apart from the Supreme Court's dominance over each federation and division of the state, there are regions that are not legally built-in under the constitutional prioritization of Pakistan until such time as. They include Gilgit Baltistan and Azad Jammu Kashmir. The debated quarters of Azad Kashmir and Gilgit–Baltistan possess autonomous or individually independent court arrangements. According to the judiciary of Pakistan, these localities are not appropriately the section of Pakistan, preferably they are remaining administered by the Administration of officials of Pakistan on an intervening authority. Although Gilgit Baltistan announced its sovereignty from Dogra/Maharaja Kashmir on 1 November 1948, that is supposed to be the self-containment festival of Gilgit Baltistan's section, visible inside the map of Pakistan. Furthermore, the jurisdiction of the Judicial System of Pakistan is not confined there, nonetheless, by Regulatory directives including the president, and Prime Minister aggregates, they are ruled and supplied a provisory administration authorized by the Federation Administration of Pakistan. In this constitution, the federal government is responsible to demonstrate democratic maintenance in these sections of Pakistan by implementing the power they have acquired while the superiority provided by national integers. When it comes to retaining judicial encouragement, the supreme court remains out of the boundaries of Gilgit, hence an individual court has been separated for this region named as APEX Court or Supreme Appellate Court for Gilgit Baltistan.

In substitution to subordinate courts, they are composed of civilian and illegal division benches, and diverse functional tribunals shielding investment, security, tariffs and taxation, importation and exportation illegality of stuff, narcotics, terrorism, money laundering, the atmosphere, and environmental scalability rules, customer assurance, and exploitation of limits. The criminal tribunals were conceived following the Criminal Procedure Code, which appeared in 1898, and the civil courts that were authorized by the West Pakistan Civil Court Ordinance in 1962. Among specified directions for criminals/sessional and civilian courts there exist also the revenue courts that conduct under the West Pakistan Land Revenue Act, taken into consideration in 1967. The administration may additionally set up legislative courts and specified tribunals for practicing independent judicial exercise in distinct circumstances. Hence the normality prescribed under the domain of rule of law could only be promoted and executed down towards the public lane when handled through civil courts for resolving general conflicts and criminal courts for the assumption of origin and way of crime among people present on the division level. The judges sit for listening to disputes are appointed by the statements of high courts which are a part of the superior judiciary in Pakistan.

2.2. Historical evolution of judiciary

The Muslim ending-pitch in the Indian sub-continent approximately starts in the 11th century A.D. This phase may be separated into two components i.e., the time of ancient Muslim leaders who directed Delhi and few other elements of India and the Mughal era, which reinstated such Muslim and other leaders in 1526 A.D.¹⁶ The Mughal Ancestors remained till the middle of the 19th age. Throughout the conclusion of Muslim leaders, Islamic doctrine survived the legality of the country in establishing civil and unlawful conflicts. Nonetheless, traditional cultures and attitudes were also requested in establishing temporal affairs. These directors were not individually enthusiastic about administering the Islamic authority to individually and each globule of living and allow the domestic practices and organizations to proceed alongside Islamic legislation and conventions. During this session, distinctive benches were authorized and performed at the fundamental, rural, community, and further depth or sub-divided regions known as tehsil vertical of commendation. These benches had representation in civil, criminal, and revenue interests and conducted under the jurisdiction of the supreme ruler. On the capital of administrative government was the King's Forum of law, controlled over by the King himself, operating independently as well as an appellate authority. The King was the peak of administrative management and he performed all nominations to constitutional appointments. Personalities of acknowledged accomplishments identified as subsistence and high sincerity were elected to such appointments. The critics contained duty throughout the satisfaction of the Crown.

The Mughals developed against the preceding happening and produced an interrelated policy of execution of the law, all across the nation. Sessions were organized at several and each member of the legislative department. At the community and rural standard, the Hindu concern of Panel of Community Chiefs (panchayat) was maintained, which picked insignificant conflicts of civil and criminal cosmos, practicing not complex investigations and negotiation as a mechanism of compensating discussions. At the municipality spot, there subsisted tribunals, directed via Qazi-e-Parganas (these members were concluding the disputes through direct implementation of Islamic rules). Likewise, at the division and federation scale, benches of Qazi's were ascertained. The most chief session at the federal ruler was that of Court Nazim-e-Subah. Then, for taxation characters, managers recognized as Ameen were selected as the city ruler. At the division level, income predicaments were administered through Amalguzar and at the countrified or federal based notions by Diwan. The highest revenue bench was proclaimed, the Imperial Diwan. In the same way, including civil and income or revenue tribunals, criminal sessions, controlled over by Soldiers, Mere of Community, and massive land owner operated. No doubt these tribunals with appointed members based on various specifications frequently applied independent authority in diverse classifications of crises, but, seldom their judicial implication was internally connected, in as abundant as, administrators administering with illegal situations were also obliged to perform as revenue benches. Moreover, in contrast with the fact, these bars developed a focused direction, their power was not continuously restricted on the cornerstone of provincial boundaries. Therefore, a person who brings a dispute against another person may decide to sharpen his lawsuit in a municipality or a division or a territory. The financial

¹⁶ CHOUDHURY, Hindu-Muslim Relation; Proceedings of the Indian History Congress 282.

administration of the benches was also not determined; consequently, a matter of tremendous significance may be registered in a bench of a miniature municipality. Furthermore, appellate arbitration survived but was not properly labeled. Thus, a person who bound a complaint against the second one, not filled with a resolution, may register a second action/objection in the next authorization of court with distinctive specialties based on specified conflicts. The before-mentioned following ruler would determine the subject again, without surely getting into evidence the more initial conclusion of the tribunal.

The sovereign presented the judiciary nominations and personalities of extraordinary knowledge and high-grade reliability were selected to the offices. The guidance was supplied to the experts to be disinterested and unprejudiced; accusations upon them were considered thoughtfully. Dishonest leaders were eliminated. Accordingly, the triple order of authority was extremely large. The method accompanied in civil arguments was not considerably complex from the method, which is suitable now. On a uniform holding ground, the forum gathered the opposing bloc to confess or disprove the case. Subjects were fixed in the proximity of both the participants who were later claimed to provide confirmation proof in assistance of their particular cases. Unmixed problems were determined, built on such proof, though, in difficult circumstances, the authority may drive his personal research into the object. A supreme attempt was produced to discover perfection. At the resolution of the steps, decision-makers were declared and properly administered. Defendants were allotted to display their cranks either individually or by representatives. Such means were not specifically advocated (in the improved knowledge of the time) but remained thoroughly familiar with the judiciary system and scheme implementation in practice. An administrator of the judiciary denominated as Mufti, connected to the session, executed the 5 descriptions of the constitution.

On the occasion of freedom, the Officials of India Act 1935 was clutched as a facilitated Constitution. As an outcome, the constitutional and legal arrangement of the British time was maintained, linearly, with due adjustments and changes, where specified, to satisfy the provisions of the innovative State. This trend, there happened no space or collapse, and the administration of the juridical conformity proceeded consecutively. The administrative composition also inhabited the same. The Lahore High Court proceeded to perform and so made the Sindh Chief Court and the rulers of Judiciary Commissioner in North-West Frontier Province (NWFP) and Baluchistan. A unique High Court was launched at Dacca. Likewise, a distinct Federal Court for Pakistan was further installed. The authorities, jurisdiction, and scope of the Federal Court and High Courts, as designated in the Government of India Act in 1935, survived uninjured.

2.3. Post-independent propagation (1947–1956)

The Officials of India Act appeared in 1935 was corrected in 1954 including a prospect to authorize the High Courts to concern the advantage processes. The consequent Codes of rule i.e. 1956, 1962, and 1973 did not prominently remodel the constitutional composition or the strengths and power of the higher or superior tribunals. The modifications accomplished were, restoring and rearranging the term of Federal Court as the Supreme Court by the year 1956 judiciary composition and the evaluation of the Highest Court of North-West Frontier Province and Judicial Commissioner Court of Baluchistan into jurisdictional High Courts, by considering the

postulates in 1973 Constitution. Afterward, a distinctive session/tribunal announced as Federal Shariat Court was founded in 1980 with authority to circumscribe, suo moto (cases on which supreme court and high courts pay attention themselves) or on the request by a national or the Federal or a Provincial Officials, as to conversely or not a particular prerequisite of legislation is disagreeable to the directives of Islam. Pakistan by possessing the hierarchical system of the judiciary enables the dependencies in federations to experience broad capabilities, and points to conduct including the execution of the law. The High Court is the superior suite of the territory, which stretches fundamental rule (acknowledging and implementing the process for prescription of Basic Concerns of Public, including citizenship or dual nationality disputes) and appellate authority (adjacent to rules/discriminations of Subordinate Courts and specialized tribunals). The Subordinate Courts operate below the supervisory administration of the High Court. These norms of courts have 24/7 operable liabilities, public interacts directly with these courts therefore, subordinate courts have essentially sitting in the overall independent judicial system of Pakistan. The courts lie under the category of Subordination are subsidized by the Provincial Government.

2.4. Analysis

In present circumstances, the method of Governance in many sectors of the entire globe is prepared on the principle of the detachment of potential within countries' central processes. i.e. the administrator, parliament, and judicial debate. The law of a nation commands a definite prescription of their receptions, yet the non-interpretation of these processes has constantly been controversial. The rule of answerability of one process to added guarantees clearness in settlement building though, it advances intervals in the method which may last dangerous in the conclusion. It is for this understanding that the degree of their nonpartisanship is a delicate subject that is determined on the foundation of the socio-economic and administrative settings of a nation. An authentically running and self-sufficient judicial system is the vertebrae of the state-construction method. The tribunal is the medium of power that develops the country's government and advocates fairness.¹⁷ Pakistan is enforcing republicanism disturbed with a slightly fractionable federal service, protection concerns, and an always developing mortgage weight.¹⁸ The greatest of the difficulties prevailing in Pakistan can be assigned to administrative deficiencies. With further than a moiety of its lifetime contributed beneath government, proclaiming Pakistan a newborn government would not denote an overemphasis. Similar to each newborn republic, the function of the publications of the country through design is not constantly comprehended in vision. Pakistan holds an administrator that operates outdoors any remittances and profits, a chamber controlled by the governmental and bureaucratic aristocracy¹⁹, and a court trying to emerge as a sovereign, self-governing disinterested establishment. This was carried about through a constitutional campaign admitted by the undemocratic pause of the Chief Justice over the more preceding administration. Judges of this campaign analyze it on the principle of accidental events where the attorneys portrayed violent performance under the

¹⁷ SANA, In the Court of Appeal.

¹⁸ AHMAD, Latest Judgements.

¹⁹ HUSSAIN, Power dynamics, institutional instability and economic growth.

appearance of the supplementary influence of the experience and the hole it has generated within the means of the country. Though, the responsibility that has been planned for the primary point in Pakistan is a level in the correct management. Furthermore, the courts now have a more all-embracing obligation towards the rapid presentation of fairness because of the assistance delivered to it by the local community and the means of communications.

In actual core judicial independence, the jury is capable of executing nonpartisan judgments in a hall of legality with common residents holding the foremost recipient of those judgments. This sovereignty suggests that declarations should eternally obey the regulation of the country even though it opposes the advantages of the decision-makers and law building multitude or any additional authoritative object.²⁰ Independent judiciary indicates that a jury as a system alongside independent critics should be disengaged from any kind of oppression and intervention, whether the participating components be the administrators, lawmakers, regional parties, governmental bodies, armed forces and paramilitary oppression, governmental and bureaucratic cream, illegal chains and administrative hierarchy itself. The most advanced comprehension of community-structuring however, primarily concentrates on applications in which “declined countries” are equipped with the propagational provision in various areas including infrastructure, automation in exportation and importation business, etc, intended at the substantiation of security.²¹ This comment derives from the old background, where a kingdom not managed with outside protection warnings or magnetism may quite attain it difficult to formulate its country engine and systems for harmonious execution.

For Pakistan, similar to many other realms across the globe, it is essential to ought an individually operative court. This exists as an individual most essential element that could ensure the security of public traditionalists, the command of the vitality, justice before the constable, and impartial authority to complete. These representatives cumulatively are the construction segments for societal unification and attachment. Furthermore, a practical and independent institution of jury guarantees that fairness is fulfilled whenever a person’s rights are dishonored, consequently preventing the perpetrator and out of the legal movements under analysis. Though a controlled bench is not just penetrated to Pakistan exclusive, slightly it is actually well-known in separate sections of the world excessively. But, the inefficient constitutional policy of Pakistan and the reasonable deformation of the nation's foundation have prominent associations with the country fabricating means in Pakistan. The previous discussion and genuine testimonies confirm that in Pakistan, sadly, the constitution has never remained competent to exert its self-containment in a reliable judgment. Repeated splitting in a governmental manner by soldiery, unchecked exploitation of legal concerns including bribery and corruption, the continuous energy distribution and the dynamism contest within municipal and armed officials for their personal fixed investments, are but some representatives that have been contributory in the declining of Independent judiciary. In Pakistan, the controlled courts proceed to drop precision at operating independently without outside and intimate connections, henceforth leading to most unfavorable values for both republic and the government. This right can be quickly confirmed by indicating distinctive appropriate models rampantly surviving in the federal and representative past of Pakistan. One infinite relevant model could be when Gen. *Musharraf* in 1999 launched Provisional

²⁰ ZAMAN, Towards a New Paradigm for Economics.

²¹ STEPHENSON, Nation Building.

Court Order (PCO)²² and suspended the National Assembly but the Supreme Court notwithstanding existing apparently the toughest system could not accept any actions against this unconstitutional behavior. The absence of stamina, independence, objectivity, and seriousness will grow more obvious under the appearance of a “law of obligation”.²³ Gen. *Musharraf* firmly was directed to convert into the principal administrator²⁴ along with leading authority²⁵ at the identical period, effectively digging the constitutional sincerity and legal necessities. The inability of the courts was additionally pronounced when 61 authorities were withdrawn by Gen. *Musharraf* and notified of crisis in the countryside (Jan, 2015). Nonetheless, this critical movement was joined with uncontrollable friction by the jurists also by the governmental bodies and mechanisms, who straightly conveyed out regional assemblies and objected against the elimination of jurists and Chief Justice.²⁶ It denoted one extraordinary consequence when the municipal civilization also bounded in to support the independence and uprightness of the judicial system. The form of consciousness between ordinary bodies and exceptionally juvenility was unbelievable. The accomplishment that the domination of the institutions and an independent judicial system, unfettered from the hooks of abolition, is the alone approach to accomplish civil nonpartisanship, delivered the vibes to the entire country to appear synchronically. Nonetheless this reveals that the judges of Pakistan experienced some extreme modifications and have developed into a comparatively more powerful establishment, but few making mess factors motionlessly pursued to constitute obstruction in the gratuitous and freethinking functioning of the jury.

2.5. In-superiority towards rule of law

The rule of law, which is guaranteed by the powerful legal configuration and should authentically shape up the pretty structure of democracy and community-construction, has the experience and repeatedly been negotiated, as can be observed from the expected suspension in the legal records of Pakistan. The 1956 composition of legislation recognized an immediate death and denoted canceled by President *Iskandar Mirza* during the succession of 1958;²⁷ the 1962 charter was another time presented unsatisfactory by President *Ayyub Khan* who canceled it in 1969.²⁸ The 1973 code was whipped by General *Zia-ul-Haq* who obeyed litigation in 1979²⁹ nonetheless he designated it as the provisional postponement of the vitality of legislation; it was an effort of discontinuation anyway. General *Musharraf* has recently been supporting investigation for canceling the legislative structure doubly; primarily in 1999 by bringing over the then selected administration and next in 2007 by commanding pressure in the roots of the state and undeviatingly involving the Chief Justice *Iftikhar Chaudhary* and transmitting him in unauthorized supervision.³⁰ Gen. *Musharraf's* claims were directed to a heavy stroke by the attorneys throughout

²² SHAH – FOLETS, Family, Religion and Law.

²³ Mr. Justice Qazi Faez Isa. Supreme Court of Pakistan, 2015.

²⁴ Former Foreign Ministers – Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

²⁵ Memorandum Opinion. In the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware.

²⁶ GURA, Heller and the Triumph of Originalist Judicial Engagement.

²⁷ AHMAD – RASHID, Rashid On Sharif: ‘I Don’t Think The Military Will Be A Hindrance To Him’.

²⁸ PARDESI, Independent Judiciary and Nation Building 97.

²⁹ ROLLIER, Across the Fence: Belongings and Representations between Pakistan and Kashmir 83.

³⁰ Special Report: The Military Strikes Back 1999-2008.

the nation, which pointed to the renovation of Chief Justice *Ifitikbar Chaudhary*. Yet, while on one control the Prosecutor's tendency, reclamation of Chief Justice and the appointing and judicial headache against President *Musharraf*, indicate on the improvement of the courts and rule of law but later at the corresponding season, the comfort with which he was capable to move away from the countryside, with debits still surfaced against him, determines that Pakistan possesses a lengthy approach to pass before it could surprisingly celebrate the independent performance of its judiciary, particularly the independent recruitment of legislative decisions in which no political superiority mentioned.

Cultural assimilation in Pakistan is juggling to a prominent range where there is barely any union of communication, traditions, rule of law, and where inherited pluralism (declaration of heterogeneity inside a governmental party) is basically an old-fashioned character.³¹ Likewise, the commercial combination has been earned over by an estimated and intentional plan beamed at expected magnificence and lamination of the community. The dependency of legislative bodies with political groups within “predominance of the institution”, “justness before the constitution” and “civilized alternatives”, is something that forms the essence of exemplary self-government, an independent judiciary, and land-structure. Though, Pakistan seems not to display any forward-looking panorama in certain tracks. The concise parliamentary interruptions between the prolonged intervals of army coups conceived it lightly for the soldiers to realize a fortification over the governmental proportion of the country. At the equivalent interval the inability of civic administration, and shortage of satisfying doing by the country machinations, directed to the destruction of successful column and guardianship in the civilian direction thus generating a reasonable location for the soldiery to exchange in on the occasion and create intrusions into the administrative, strategic and statutory divisions.

3. Brokers creating obstacles in the independence of judiciary and effective building of constitution in Pakistan

In status to explain the mentality as to why the community-construction and courts have been a suspended fixture, it is significant to observe the agents that are working as obstacles in the direction of prosperous country concretion and noninterference of legislation. Noticeable of these can be identified as:

3.1. Loss of power partition

This is especially associated with the horizontal and unshaped functioning of the country machinations which lean on the 3 principal establishments; Executive, Legislature, and Judiciary. These Limbs of the country have to operate autonomously and independently inside their owned divisions of obligation. The approach is extremely manageable i.e. to check the strength from staying practiced by any individual branch and to grasp any one foundation or section from practicing total handle over the separate divisions of the kingdom.³²This further provides for conserving straightest notes and stability on the manipulation and completion of these systems.

³¹ HEDLING, StackPath.

³² SULTANA, Montesquieu's Doctrine of Separation of Powers.

Though, regrettably, in the instance of Pakistan, the administrative section appears to be the diverse permitted article. The authority looks to stay in the instructions of the administrator that has multiple terms crushed and diminished the independence and sovereignty of other nation systems and has instantly negotiated the extreme principle of justice and rule of law. In Pakistan, an individual actuality i.e., armed forces or related official is experiencing the “unquantified authority”³³ and several frequently lead to damage this strength in whichever direction it desires. Not solely does the country means standing difficulties in its steady functioning but the matter for nation-building doesn’t yet comprehend anywhere. When the fixtures that are capable of defending personal traditionalists are themselves staying discredited, how could they increase their settings towards community-construction and betterment in the judiciary?

3.2. No esteem for the fundamental principles

Law as a reproduced paper precisely differentiates the power of the judiciary of the administration. Not only does it recognize the constituents of leadership and authorization for parliament, officials, and courts, it also guarantees that any system and department continue by the policies planned and has added clarity in the paper. The intention is to withdraw any dispute and to support the mastery of the law-code itself. The constitution of the country should hold chief legislation. It should be defended and confirmed at all values. Alongside, the law should further warrant the fundamental individual freedoms, rule of law, and local rights. Yet repeatedly both certain perspectives are absent in the position of Pakistan. Not alone has the law been constantly and periodically canceled, revoked, and changed as per the longings of public and army administration, the legal circuit breaks and infirmities with attachments to countrified and central virtues and description, has critically influenced the nation-building method.³⁴ Therefore, a delicate or contaminated structure of law, invariably being tampered at will is something Pakistan has which will nevermore be an adequate mechanism for nation building.

3.3. Corruption and Favoritism

Political degradation and nepotism are the most influential threats to Pakistan’s existence now. These diseases are located in the judiciary arrangement as present the same as in any additional system of the nation. The country has been driven to the edge of destruction where these circumstances are immediately liable for the extensive domestic non-customary protection warnings such as stopping income, ignorance and underdevelopment. An extensive figure of seized and troubled people centers to complete descriptions of unlawful medians for its nutrition and maintenance. Henceforth the factionalism on the foundation of a person’s substantial framework encourages communitive delamination. The minor exempt may be motivated to carry on to whatever reference which could support their durability. This construction produces an excellent environment and presents a tremendous strength for civilization to transform into a transmuted territory for offenders and rule violators. This is precisely something that has occurred to the Pakistani community at the same time. It has been fooled by the dangers of

³³ BURKHARDT – JONES, *Judicial Intervention into Prisons* 39.

³⁴ SHAH, *Self-Defense in Islamic and International Law: Assessing Al-Qaeda and the Invasion of Iraq*.

debasement and administrative corruption where the kingdom jurisdictions and managers have either been corrupting the rights or most frequently been supplying the constituent methods.³⁵ Corruption and nepotism more often neglect the excellence-patterns rules only to end in the unproductiveness of meritorious skills. Many disheartened people have either spotted the world of corruption or wrong or ignored the nation making extensive streams of intellectual exhaustion. In Pakistan, the process for hiring the candidates on the cornerstone of corruption and favoritism is supported to perform without throwing serious sight of legislation over it, and endure strange because the powerful authority shaping material is forced incapable to misbehave its liabilities with liberty and independence, hence contributing it non-around.

3.4. Fraudulent and Defective Jury

The social, economic, and governmental model of Pakistan proposes an impression of a civilization where the grading scheme has virtually misplaced its significance. Nepotism is widespread and popular penetrated to several circumstances including; reduction of responsibility, cheap wages, copyright of dynamism, shortage of clarity of assets, corruption, violation of laws, non-answerability before the law, and red-tapism, etc. It is considered that the majority of citizens execute conscious selections to promote their businesses and to achieve the greatest privileges for themselves.

3.5. Inadequacy of democracy

Constant interruptions of non-civilian drives into the administrative field, the continuous disturbances in the administrative methods, short-fleeting legislative sessions, exposed party operation, and unfair treatment of selection method, cumulatively could not concede for the democracy to increase and advance in Pakistan in its sincere understanding.³⁶ Democracy is considered to transport resolutions to a lot of country dilemmas. People's opinions are monitored, their complaints are practiced into the description, and they are supported to communicate their evaluations without the anxiety of trial or a beat behind. Furthermore, democracy guarantees that all nation systems are uniformly considered and should never signify threatened.

4. Conclusion

A prolonged and participatory method, guaranteed by a supreme constitution, should be established in a direction to instruct and induce recognition amongst the public about their fundamental freedoms. This information will commence securing connectivity to the legality and law-establishing parties, limiting any situation of exploitation of potential or jurisdiction. A successful civilian community is an individual example that acknowledges that it is an equitable commanded notion in an administrative manner and can maintain the executives liable for their faults. Local culture should build assured that the capability of judges is not impaired and the law

³⁵ SHAH, Constraining Consolidation 21.

³⁶ MADADIN et al, The Islamic Perspective on Physician-Assisted Suicide and Euthanasia 278.

abides excellent. Nation-building can enhance an actuality solely when the process of the country is dominated free. Only if an organization level independency originates from legislative structures, the system will be ruptured which portrays the democratic picture of a nation. Therefore, it's essential for law bodies to hold not only the crew non-interpretation of parties but also make sure that individual judges are independent in launching law code in practice.

Following actions can be practiced to magnify the performance of the Judicial system in stimulating the notion of nation-fabric: (1) Pakistan is in desperate indigence of an operative justice where the bars of the constitution should be capable to arrange judgments without specifying toward anyone on the principle of authority, power, rank, or intimate devotion. Regrettably in Pakistan, the comfort of passage to fairness is imaginary. Infirm and impoverished people have to pause for years before their applications are detected in the sessions and the most maximum of the extent are not equivalent signed in their existence, yet when they are inexperienced and wrongfully involved in the crisis. This melancholy nature of activities operates against the possibility of nation-building where people are disappointed and coping with their difficulties. Consequently, the jurisdiction of self-government is the only option out that can surely straight and unbiased tribunal. (2) Pakistan in its contemporary condition doesn't allow similar parkways of expansion and commercial possibilities to the whole public inside. The people are incapable to appreciate the social and economic benefits cumulatively at the corresponding stage. Civilization is extremely polarized and with a huge hole between furnished and poor people. Therefore, the civil composition in the administrative method is a significant constituent that should not be neglected. (3) Pakistan is in the crushing dilemma of social and economic differences which require prompt consideration if political unification is to be accomplished. The reason of people who don't alike have fundamental living materials to persevere on can scarcely be supposed to support the concept of nation-construction or to represent their resolute performance for this object.

Bibliography

- AHMAD, Tariq: National Parliaments: Pakistan
<https://www.loc.gov/law/help/national-parliaments/pakistan.php> [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- AHMAD, Riaz – SULTANA, Kishwar: Development of Sufism in the Indo-Pak Subcontinent During the Sultanate Period (1206-1526 AD). *Journal of Asian Civilizations* 32(2)/2009, 177–188
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&authtype=crawler&jrnl=19934696&asa=Y&AN=58771788&h=YEmRLqKCKSALQ%2BlvFx9Bdb9F9gXfBteUgFyuAGYvxsHKAzaXIceeEliDeiPeedwDGZndxzX4dOxBngAgEK5pmw%3D%3D&crl=c> [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- AHMED, S.: Supremely Fallible? A Debate on Judicial Restraint and Activism in Pakistan. *ICL Journal*, 9(2)/2017, 213-239
- AHMAD, Latest Judgements. Supreme Court of Pakistan, 2016
<https://www.supremecourt.gov.pk/latest-judgements/> [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- AHMAD – RASHID, Rashid On Sharif: 'I Don't Think The Military Will Be A Hindrance To Him'
<https://www.rferl.org/a/pakistan-sharif-interview-rashid/24985934.html> [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- BURKHARDT, Brett C. – JONES, Alisha: Judicial Intervention into Prisons: Comparing Private and Public Prisons from 1990 to 2005. *Justice System Journal* 37/2015, 39
- CHOUDHURY, M. L. ROY: Hindu-Muslim Relation During the Mughal Period 1526 to 1707 A. D. *Proceedings of the Indian History Congress* 9/1946, 282–296
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/44137074> [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]

- DOBBINS, J. – JONES, S. G. – CRANE, K. – DEGRASSE, B. C.: *The Beginner's Guide to Nation-Building*. 2007 Santa Monica – Arlington – Pittsburgh
<https://books.google.com.pk/books?hl=en&lr=&id=7XIH9kMezOIC&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=nation+building+and+judiciary+in+pakistan&ots=Qoye24x9eo&sig=wKqj0pClfS7c5BkgfSaZOxFjWg>
 [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- Former Foreign Ministers – Ministry of Foreign Affairs
<http://mofa.gov.pk/former-foreign-ministers/>
- GHIAS, S. A.: Miscarriage of Chief Justice: Judicial Power and the Legal Complex in Pakistan under Musharraf. *Law & Social Inquiry*, 35(04)/2010, 985 – 1022
- GULZAR, Saima: Glazed tile ornamentation in Mughal Monumental Architecture. *International Journal of Research in Chemical, Metallurgical and Civil Engineering* 3/2016, 114-117
<http://escholar.umt.edu.pk:8080/jspui/handle/123456789/3249> [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- GURA, Alan: Heller and the Triumph of Originalist Judicial Engagement: A Response to Judge Harvie Wilkinson
https://heinonline.org/hol/cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/uclalr56§ion=33
 [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- HEDLING, N.: StackPath
<https://www.corteidh.or.cr/tablas/28322.pdf> [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- HIRSCHL, Ran: “Juristocracy” – Political, not Juridical. *The Good Society* 13(3)/2004, 6–11
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&authtype=crawler&jrnl=15224147&AN=84587423&h=7vKUpOTCvB0TL%2BX%2ByeMQdv542U6wX6BzoGEdaLqvVBhNtxBQvCTj7g86Hh57ro21ao8P%2BBtmw%2FDGQYFs74gI2A%3D%3D&crl=c>
 [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- HUSSAIN, Faqir: *The Judicial System of Pakistan*. Islamabad 1988
https://www.supremecourt.gov.pk/downloads_judgements/all_downloads/Judicial_System_of_Pakistan/thejudicialsystemofPakistan.pdf [Accessed December 19, 2020]
- HUSSAIN, Akmal: Power Dynamics, Institutional Instability and Economic Growth: The Case of Pakistan
<https://www.asiafoundation.org/resources/pdfs/DriversOfChange.pdf> [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- ISMAIL, A. – RASHID, K.: Time series analysis of the nexus among corruption, political instability and Judicial Inefficiency in Pakistan. *Quality & Quantity*, 48(5)/2013, 2757–2771
- SANA, Jamal: Pakistan Gears up for Senate Polls.
<https://gulfnews.com/world/asia/pakistan/pakistan-gears-up-for-senate-polls-1.77558686>
 [Accessed December 19, 2020]
- SANA, Jamal: In the Court of Appeal (Criminal Division) an Appeal from the Crown Court and Central Criminal Court. <https://www.judiciary.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/r-v-kahar-and-others.pdf>
 [Accessed December 19, 2020]
- MADADIN, Mohammed et al: The Islamic Perspective on Physician-Assisted Suicide and Euthanasia. *Medicine, Science and the Law* 60/2020, 278
- Mr. Justice Qazi Faez Isa. *Supreme Court of Pakistan*, 2015
<https://www.supremecourt.gov.pk/judges/honorable-judges/mr-justice-qazi-faez-isa/>
 [Accessed 4 March 2021]
- Memorandum Opinion. In the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware (2015)
<https://courts.delaware.gov/Opinions/Download.aspx?id=286980> [Accessed 4 March 2021]
- MUNIR, Muhammad: Challenging State Authority or Running a Parallel Judicial System? ‘Ulama versus the Judiciary in Pakistan. *LUMS Law Journal* 4(1)/2017
<https://sahsol.lums.edu.pk/law-journal/challenging-state-authority-or-running-parallel-judicial-system-%E2%80%99ulama-versus-judiciary> [Accessed 4 March 2021]
- MUNIR, Ahmad Mughal: *Principles of Policy in Pakistan Constitution* 1973
<https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=1905955> [Accessed 4 March 2021]
- NELSON, Matthew J.: Indian Basic Structure Jurisprudence in the Islamic Republic of Pakistan: Reconfiguring the Constitutional Politics of Religion. *Asian Journal of Comparative Law* 13/2018, 333
- NEWBERG, Paula R.: *Judging the State: Courts and Constitutional Politics in Pakistan*. Cambridge 2002
https://books.google.com.pk/books?hl=en&lr=&id=PbSeGQO3xdsC&oi=fnd&pg=PP13&dq=judicial+in+pakistan&ots=H04FPFby6_&sig=MvcEQKhHNp7sKfBV0JPGvCB2N2M
 [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]

- PARDESI, Independent Judiciary and NationBuilding: A Case Study of Pakistan. *Global Regional Review* I/2014, 97
- RASOOL, Saad: Distilling Eligibility and Virtue: Articles 62 and 63 of the Pakistani Constitution
<https://sahsol.lums.edu.pk/law-journal/distilling-eligibility-and-virtue-articles-62-and-63-pakistani-constitution> [Accessed 13 Jun 2019]
- ROLLIER, Paul: Across the Fence: Belongings and Representations between Pakistan and Kashmir. *Pakistan and Its Diaspora* 2011
- RUBIN, Edward L.: Law and Legislation in the Administrative State. *Columbia Law Review* 89/1989, 369
- SADAF, Farooq – ABIDA, Rafique – GHULAM, Qumber: Independent Judiciary and Nation Building: A Case Study of Pakistan. *Global Regional Review*, I/2016, 97–113
<https://www.grrjournal.com/jadmin/Auther/31rvIoLA2LALJouq9hkR/TtkZB8xSN2.pdf>
 [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- SATHE, SP.: Judicial Activism: The Indian Experience. *Washington University Journal of Law & Policy* 6/2001
https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/law_journal_law_policy/vol6/iss1/3 [Accessed 13 Jun 2019]
- SHAH, Amanullah: Critical Study of the Factors Undermining Independence of the Superior Judiciary in Pakistan. 2008
<http://www.lcwu.edu.pk/ocd/cfiles/Political%20Science/EC/Pol.Sc-507/CRITICALSTUDYOFTHEFACTORSUNDERMINING.pdf>
<http://www.lcwu.edu.pk/ocd/cfiles/Political%20Science/EC/Pol.Sc507/CRITICALSTUDYOFTHEFACTORSUNDERMINING.pdf>
 [Accessed 13 Jun 2019]
- SHAH, Prakash – FOBLETS, Marie-Claire: *Family, Religion and Law: Cultural Encounters in Europe*. Routledge 2016
[https://books.google.com.pk/books?hl=en&lr=&id=6PYGDAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=\(Shah+2016\)++judicial+journal&ots=kFaPgIyZyU&sig=IJRMkxZ6cOtvnzeL5oi24AZloTM](https://books.google.com.pk/books?hl=en&lr=&id=6PYGDAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=(Shah+2016)++judicial+journal&ots=kFaPgIyZyU&sig=IJRMkxZ6cOtvnzeL5oi24AZloTM)
 [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- SHAH, Aqil: Constraining Consolidation: Military Politics and Democracy in Pakistan (2007–2013). *Democratization* 21/2013, 1007
- SHAH, Niaz: Self-Defense in Islamic and International Law: Assessing Al-Qaeda and the Invasion of Iraq.
<http://scholar.google.com/scholar?cluster=655990767353365417&hl=en&oi=scholar>
 [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- Special Report: The Military Strikes Back 1999-2008
<https://www.dawn.com/news/1372376> [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- STEPHENSON, Carolyn: Nation Building.
https://www.beyondintractability.org/essay/nation_building/%3B [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- SULTANA, Tasneem: Montesquieu's Doctrine of Separation of Powers: A Case Study of Pakistan.
<http://www.lcwu.edu.pk/ocd/cfiles/Political%20Science/EC/Pol.Sc-403/An-Article-on-Separation-of-Powers.pdf> [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- TAYYAB, Mahmud: Freedom of Religion & Religious Minorities in Pakistan: A Study of Judicial Practice. 19 *Fordham Int'l L.J.* 40/1995-1996
<https://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/frdint19&div=14&id=&page=>
 [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- TAYYAB, Mahmud: Praetorianism and Common Law in Post-Colonial Settings: Judicial Responses to Consitutional Breakdowns in Pakistan. *Utah L. Rev.* (1993), 1226–1273
https://heinonline.org/hol-cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/utahlr1993§ion=47
 [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- ZAMAN, Asad: Towards a New Paradigm for Economics.
<https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3073318> [Accessed 20 Dec. 2020]
- ZIRING, Lawrence: Public Policy Dilemmas and Pakistan's Nationality Problem: The Legacy of Zia ulHaq. *Asian Survey*, 28(8)/1988, 795–812
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2644587> [Accessed 13 Jun 2019]